

Council



Forest Heath
District Council

Title:	West Suffolk Council – Electoral Review: Amendments	
Report Nos:	AMENDED COU/FH/18/012	
Paper to and date:	Council	25 April 2018
Documents attached:	None	

Following the distribution of the Council agenda for 25 April 2018, this paper provides amendments to Report No: COU/FH/18/012.

1. Report No: COU/FH/18/012: West Suffolk Council – Electoral Review

1.1 Please note the following minor amendments to paragraph 2.6.2 of the above covering report, shown in **bold red**:

2.6.2 *The options in Appendix A have been subject to consultation with the Future Governance Steering Group. The FGSG recommended that all options should be submitted to MHCLG for consideration, and that:*

- a) *The "other options" for the rural wards should include the potential of moving Icklingham to the Manor Ward, albeit recognition should be given that the current proposal – including Icklingham in a Risby Ward – follows the A1101 giving a natural community cohesion corridor;*
- b) *Option **C** for Brandon should be the preferred option in light of the feedback received from local members at the Councillors drop-in session;*
- c) *Option **H** for Haverhill should be a preferred option in light of feedback from the consultation;*
- d) *Option **I** for Mildenhall should be a preferred option as it reflects the views of the recent Mildenhall Parish Council meeting; and*
- e) *Option **K** for Newmarket should be a preferred option (reflecting the views of Newmarket Town Council)*

1.2 Please also note the following amendment to the table in **Appendix A: Option A – Rural Wards**, shown in **bold red**:

Ward No: 17

Risby Ward

No. of electorate: ~~1938~~ **2237**

%Away from Average: ~~minus 5.74%~~ **+ 8.80%**

1.3 The table has been updated on the website but is not attached here.